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## Input data

The following data are available for use in the 2021 updated horse mackerel assessment:
Absolute Abundance indices: $\quad$ Spring survey (9 yrs) covering 1986-2016
Autumn survey ( 22 yrs ) covering 1988-2019 (data for 2021 are available but the assessment only incorporates data up to and including 2020).

Midwater CPUE (Dessert Diamond): 2003-2020
Dual rights CPUE: 2007-2020

Catches: Demersal, midwater and pelagic for 1949-2020.

Catch-at-length data: Spring survey up to 2016, Autumn survey up to 2019
Midwater 2003-2020

These data are reported in Tables 3-5.

## Model description

The full model specifications are provided below. Note the following:
The model assumes that 2014-2016 low CPUE values are a result of reduced fishing catchability. Thus
$q=q_{1}$ for years up to and including 2013,
$q=q_{2}$ for year 2014, and
$q=q_{1}$ for year 2017+.
$q$ is linearly interpolated between $q_{2}$ (in 2014) and $q_{1}$ (in 2017) to obtain the $q$ values for 2015 and 2016 (although note that the observed 2015 CPUE value is omitted in the model fit, as decided earlier by the DWG).

Survey selectivity: the model estimates two survey selectivity functions. The "OLD" selectivity function is used for surveys conducted with the old gear, with the "NEW" selectivity function used for surveys conducted with the new gear. Table 4 indicates for which years each gear type was used. The "OLD" gear selectivity function is assumed to apply for the demersal fisheries bycatches taken. Specifications for commercial selectivities are detailed below.

The full population assessment model used for the horse mackerel assessment is described below, and provides a description of assumptions made for projecting the resource into the future.

### 1.1 Population Model

An age-structured production model (ASPM) is used as the underlying assessment model for the Horse Mackerel. This model is able to fit to CPUE indices as well as catch-at-length data to allow for past recruitment fluctuations to be estimated. The assessment process involves developing a model of the resource dynamics and conditioning its output to the available data by minimising a loglikelihood function. A single-stock model is used which is based largely on the assessment model by Johnston and Butterworth (2007), except that a midwater CPUE series, time-varying selectivity, catch-at-length data and recruitment fluctuations are incorporated. Important features of the model are described below, with full specifications given.

### 1.1.1 Dynamics

The ASPM reflects the dynamics of the resource over the period 1949-2018. The resource has been managed as a single stock (since 2001). It is assumed that the population was in equilibrium at its carrying capacity in 1949. In reality, horse mackerel catches have been taken as bycatch in other fisheries since the 1900s but these catches were recorded only from 1949, shortly after substantial development of the pelagic fishery commenced. Nevertheless, the cumulative catch before 1949 is unlikely to have been high.

Pope's approximation to the Baranov equations is used to determine fishing mortality (Pope 1972). This assumes that all catches are taken as a pulse in the middle of the fishing season, instead of continuously throughout. The number of recruits at the start of a new year is related to the biomass of the mature component of the population (i.e. spawning biomass) of the previous year by a stockrecruitment relationship. A Beverton-Holt form is assumed. Additionally, stock-recruitment residuals that reflect natural fluctuations about expected recruitment are estimated for the years 1986-2017 (there is insufficient information content in the catch-at-length information to estimate beyond this 2017). Despite this variability in recruitment, the model assumes that at the start of the fishery in 1949, the population is stable at its unexploited equilibrium. Selectivity functions for the midwater and demersal fleets are estimated during the fitting procedure, and are assumed to have a Gaussian dependence on length. Demersal fishing selectivity is additionally assumed to vary for the "OLD" trawl gear and the "NEW" trawl gear.

### 1.1.2 Likelihoods

The assessment model is conditioned on survey abundance and catch-at-length data, and on commercial CPUE and catch-at-length data. Additional contributions to the negative of the (penalised) log-likelihood come from the stock-recruitment residuals and various penalty functions which are discussed below.

The midwater CPUE and demersal survey time-series are both considered to be relative indices of abundance, each proportional to the biomass available to their respective fleets at midyear. However, without any estimates of biomass in absolute terms, the model is unable to estimate catchability coefficients for these indices reliably. The autumn survey is therefore treated as an absolute index by fixing its catchability to one of three values considered to span a plausible range. The value of this catchability parameter is a key uncertainly of the model. Likelihoods are calculated by assuming that observed indices are log-normally distributed about their expected values. Although estimates of sampling variability are given for each demersal survey, the model estimates additional variance because there are likely to be other sources of variability; otherwise unrealistically high precision, and hence weight in the fitting procedure, would be accorded to these indices.

Because the assessment model is age-structured, catch-at-age estimates must be transformed into catch-at-length estimates before they can be compared to the observed catch-at-length data. This is done via an age-length matrix that is based on an input von Bertalanffy growth curve. The likelihood contributions are then calculated by comparing the model-predicted length distribution of horse mackerel catches with empirical data. Errors are assumed to be log-normally distributed.

The stock-recruitment residuals are also assumed to be log-normally distributed with no autocorrelation. Unfortunately, their variability cannot be estimated within the maximum likelihood framework used in this assessment, because the penalised likelihood function will always yield a minimum in the limit of the extent of this variability approaching zero. This issue is somewhat problematic, because recruitment fluctuations are of particular importance to the testing of pelagic MPs. While it could be dealt with by adopting a fully Bayesian methodology, it is simpler and adequate for present purposes to input the standard deviation for those residuals as a fixed value.

Finally, there are contributions to the negative log-likelihood from penalty functions. These do not correspond to any particular observed data or prior knowledge, but are instead included to discourage the optimisation from moving into unrealistic regions of parameter space, such as those resulting in negative population numbers or fishing mortality. The models presented to date for horse mackerel achieve convergence without triggering those penalty functions.

### 1.1.3 Parameters

## Estimable parameters (42)

A complete list of the 43 parameters estimated by the model fitting procedure is given below.
$K^{s p}$ is the pre-exploitation spawning biomass of horse mackerel;
$q_{s p r}$ is the catchability coefficient for the spring demersal survey abundance index;
$S_{y}$ is the fluctuation about the expected recruitment for year $y$, which is estimated for years 19862017;
$\mu^{m}$ is the centre of the Gaussian selectivity-at-length curve for the midwater fleet;
$\lambda^{m}$ controls the width of the Gaussian selectivity-at-length curve for the midwater fleet;
$\mu_{y 1-y 2}^{d}$ is the centre of the Gaussian selectivity curve for the demersal fleet for years $y 1$ or $y 2$, and is
estimated for periods of "OLD" gear (y1) and "NEW" gear (y2).
$\lambda_{y 1-y 2}^{d}$ controls the width of the Gaussian selectivity-at-length curve for the demersal fleet for years
y1 or $y 2$, and is estimated for periods of "OLD" gear (y1) and "NEW" gear (y2); and
$\sigma_{a d d}^{S}$ is the square root of the additional variance for the survey abundance index $s$ ( $s$ is either aut
for the autumn survey of spr for the spring survey), and reflects variability not included in the corresponding survey CVs.
$q_{2}$ is the extra amount multiplied to the midwater $q_{C P U E}$ for the year 2014.

## Input parameters

Some parameters cannot be estimated by the model, or are adequately specified by other studies and need not be estimated. They are therefore input with fixed values. The following is a list of these parameters:
$q_{a u t}$ is the catchability coefficient for the autumn demersal survey abundance index, and is assumed to be 0.75 for the Base Case $(B C)$ model; sensitivities consider 0.5 and 1.0;
$h$ is the "steepness" of the Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment function, and is assumed to be 0.75 for the BC model; sensitivities consider 0.6 and 0.9;
$M$ is the natural mortality rate of horse mackerel, and is fixed at $0.3 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$; although this choice is somewhat arbitrary (Johnston and Butterworth 2007), Horsten (1999a) found key ASPM results to be fairly robust to alternative assumptions regarding this value. Recent assessments have also examined sensitivity to the modelling of $M$.
$a_{m}$ is the age-at-maturity for South African horse mackerel, and is described by a knife-edge
function of age with $100 \%$ of the population being sexually mature at 3 years (Butterworth and Clark 1996; Hecht 1990);
$l_{a}$ is the expected length of a fish at age $a$ in centimetres, and is based on the von Bertalanffy
growth function given by Equation 1 and the growth parameters reported in Table 1 below; $w_{a}$ is the weight in metric tonnes of a fish at age $a$, and is based on the length-at-age relationship described above, in combination with the mass-at-length function given by Equation 2 and the growth parameters reported in Table 1;
$S_{a, y 1-y 2}^{p}$ is the fishing selectivity for the pelagic fleet for a fish at age $a$ for years $y 1-y 2$, and is listed in
Table 2 for the periods 1949-1962, 1963-1967 and 1968+;
$\sigma_{R}$ is the standard deviation of the stock-recruitment log-residuals, and is assumed to be equal to 0.5 , which is roughly typical for a species like horse mackerel;
$\gamma$ is the CV of the length distribution of horse mackerel at any given age, and is assumed to be equal to 0.09 because this value provides good fits to catch-at-length data and lies within the expected range for a species like horse mackerel; and
$w_{\text {cal }}$ is the weighting of the catch-at-length likelihood contributions, and is fixed at 0.35 (a weighting of 1 is equivalent of being "unweighted").

## Growth

The Cape horse mackerel has a maximum reported (fork) length of 60 cm and may live to more than ten years of age (Bianchi et al. 1999). The length-at-age relationship used in the work presented in this thesis is taken from Kerstan (pers. commn) as quoted in Horsten (1999b). This relationship takes the form of a von Bertalanffy growth curve:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{a}=l_{\infty}\left(1-e^{-\kappa\left(a-t_{0}\right)}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$l_{a}$ is the expected total length of a fish of age a in years in centimetres;
$l_{\infty}$ is the asymptotic total length in centimetres;
$\kappa$, the Brody growth coefficient, is a growth rate parameter; and
$t_{0}$ is the theoretical age at which length would be zero.

The mass-at-length relationship used for Cape horse mackerel is from Naish et al. (1991). It is provided by the power model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\alpha(l)^{\beta} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$w$ is the expected weight in grams of fish;
$l$ is the total length of the fish in centimetres; and
$\alpha$ and $\beta$ are growth parameters.
Estimates for the parameters of these growth equations are reported in Table 1. Hecht (1990) found no difference between the mean length-at-age of males and females. This provides further support for a sex-aggregated model.

Given the limited data available at present, the assessment model in unable to reliably estimate the parameters $q_{\text {aut }}$ (autumn survey catchability) and $h$ (stock-recruitment steepness). Hence they must be set externally. Note that $q_{\text {aut }}$ can be thought of as a measure of the bias in the survey absolute biomass estimates. For example, a value of 0.5 means that actual biomass is twice as large as the swept-area estimate from the surveys, whereas a value of 1 would mean that these surveys provide unbiased results. $h$ determines the productivity of the resource, with a larger $h$ corresponding to greater productivity. The Base Case (BC) model, which has been used in assessments and MP testing since 2014 assumes $q_{\text {aut }}=0.75 ; h=0.75$.

### 1.2 Projections

Projections are simulations of the future state of a fishery given present understanding of the resource dynamics as represented by an assessment model. By providing a basis to calculate fishery performance statistics, they give means of testing candidate MPs and enable stake-holders to make informed decisions about trade-offs. In this section projections under the assumption of constant future catches for both the future pelagic catches and future midwater catches are considered. The horse mackerel resource is projected 30 years into the future. Because there are stochastic elements in the model dynamics, 1000 projections, each using different random numbers, are simulated for each future catch scenario as explained below. This allows for realistic estimates of performance statistics. Additionally, the random number generator is seeded with the same value at the start of each set of 1000 projections in order to eliminate the variability that would results from using different seeds; this allows for readier comparisons between scenarios.

Future stock-assessment residuals are drawn randomly from a normal distribution with a stand deviation of $\sigma_{R}$. Additionally, they are assumed to be serially correlated, with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.47 . This value is taken from the serial correlation of the model-estimated residuals.

Future "observed" midwater CPUE and autumn demersal and pelagic survey biomass estimates are generated during projections, because these indices of abundance are potentially useful as inputs to many MPs. Realistic observation errors are added to the expected values of these abundance indices by drawing them at random from the same log-normal distribution assumed in the assessment model (Equations 26, 28. 30 and 31 below). The variance of the error distribution for the CPUE and pelagic survey indices are estimated in the assessment (Equation 32 below), while the variance for the autumn demersal survey abundance estimate is a combination of the estimated $\sigma_{a d d}^{\text {aut }}$ (additional variance) and a CV (Equation 29 below). Future CVs are drawn randomly with replacement from historic autumn survey CVs.

## Mathematical details of the ASPM

## 1 Dynamics

The population dynamics are described by the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{y+1,0}=R_{y+1}  \tag{3}\\
& N_{y+1, a+1}=\left(N_{y, a} e^{-M / 2}-C_{y, a}\right) e^{-M / 2} \quad 0 \leq a \leq m-2  \tag{4}\\
& N_{y+1, m}=\left(N_{y, m} e^{-\frac{M}{2}}-C_{y, m}\right) e^{-\frac{M}{2}}+\left(N_{y, m-1} e^{-\frac{M}{2}}-C_{y, m-1}\right) e^{-M / 2} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$N_{y, a}$ is the number of horse mackerel of age $a$ at the start of year $y$;
$C_{y, a}$ is the total number of horse mackerel of age $a$ taken in year $y$ by the pelagic, midwater and demersal fleets combined;
$R_{y}$ is the number of recruits (0-year olds) at the start of year $y$;
$M$ is the natural mortality rate for horse mackerel; and
$m$ is the minimum age within the plus-group and is set here to ten years old.
The approximation of the fishery as a pulse catch in the middle of the season is considered of sufficient accuracy for present purposes. Note that the model also assumes that recruitment to the population occurs at the start of a new year (Equation 3), even though in reality there are two pawning peaks roughly two months apart.

The total number of horse mackerel of age a caught each year is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{y, a}=\sum_{f} C_{y, a}^{f} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ indicates the fishery concerned and in this case is either $p$ for pelagic, $d$ for demersal or $m$ for midwater.

The annual catch by mass for fleet $f$ is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{y}^{f} & =\sum_{a=0}^{m} w_{y, a}^{f} C_{y, a}^{f} \\
& =\sum_{a=0}^{m} w_{y, a}^{f} S_{y, a}^{f} F_{y}^{f} N_{y, a} e^{-M / 2} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$S_{y, a}^{f}$ is the fishing selectivity-at-age for fleet $f$ for fish of age $a$ in year $y$;
$F_{y}^{f}$ is the fleet-specific fishing mortality for a fully selected age class in year $y$; and
$w_{y, a}^{f}$ is the effective weight of a horse mackerel of age $a$ for fleet $f$ in year $y$.
Fishing selectivity for the pelagic fleet is described by selectivity-at-age functions which are input; therefore that fleet's effective weight-at-age (in gm) is simply given by a combination of the length-at-age (in cm ) and weight-at-length relationships discussed above:

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{a}=54.56\left[1-e^{-0.183(a+0.654)}\right]  \tag{8}\\
& w_{a}^{p}=0.0078 l_{a+1 / 2}^{3.011} \times 10^{-6} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Because the fishing selectivities of the midwater and demersal fleets are modelled by selectivity-atlength functions, their effective weights-at-age must be calculated differently:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{y, a}^{f}=\frac{\Sigma_{l} w_{l} s_{y, l}^{f} A_{l, a}}{\sum_{l} s_{y, l}^{f} A_{l, a}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$w_{l}$ is the weight of a horse mackerel of length I (Equation 2);
$S_{y, l}^{f}$ is the fishing selectivity for fleet f for fish of length I in year y ; and
$A_{l, a}$ is the age-length key, which gives the proportion of fish of age $a$ that are of length / (detailed later in Equation 18).

Note that fishing selectivity for the midwater fleet is assumed to be time-invariant; therefore the $y$ subscript may be dropped when determining the effective weight-at-age for that fleet.

The fleet-specific exploitable component of abundance is taken to be given by exploitable biomass at midyear:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{y}^{f}=\sum_{a=0}^{m} w_{y, a}^{f} S_{y, a}^{f} N_{y, a} e^{-M / 2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in terms of numbers of individuals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{y}^{f}=\sum_{a=0}^{m} S_{y, a}^{f} N_{y, a} e^{-M / 2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proportion of the resource harvested each year by fleet $y$ is therefore given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{y}^{f}=\frac{c_{y}^{f}}{B_{y}^{f}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{y, a}^{f}=S_{y, a}^{f} F_{y}^{f} N_{y, a} e^{-M / 2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in terms of Equations 13 and 14 the model assumes the same fishing selectivity for the commercial demersal fleet and both demersal surveys. This simplifying assumption has been made
because there are no catch-at-length data available to estimate selectivity functions for the commercial fleet.

## Fishing selectivities

Selectivity-at-age for the pelagic fleet is input and assumed to change with time. The same values are used as for the 2007 assessment model (Johnston and Butterworth 2007). Essentially there is one selectivity function for the pre-1993 period and another for the post-1967 period, while for the period between (1963-1967) the average of those two selectivity functions is used.

In contrast, selectivity-at-length is estimated for both the midwater (time invariant) and demersal fleets ("OLD" and "NEW" selectivity functions). These are assumed to have a Gaussian form with length:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
S_{y, l}^{f} & =e^{-\left(l-\mu_{l}^{f}\right)^{2} / 2\left(\lambda_{y}^{f}\right)^{2}} & \text { if } l_{\min }^{f} \leq l \leq l_{\max }^{f} \text { or } \\
& =0 & & \text { otherwise } \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

where
$\mu_{y}^{f}$ is an estimated selectivity parameter that determines the centre of the Gaussian for fleet $f$ in year $y$;
$\lambda_{y} f$ is an estimated parameter that determines the width of the Gaussian for fleet $f$ in year $y$; $l_{\text {min }}^{f}$ is a fixed selectivity parameter that determines the smallest length class with non-zero
selectivity for fleet $f$, and is set equal to 10 cm for both the demersal or the midwater fleets, and $l_{\text {max }}^{f}$ is a fixed selectivity parameter that determines the largest length class with non-zero selectivity for fleet $f$, and is set equal to 50 cm or 60 cm for the demersal or midwater fleets respectively.

Note again that the $y$ subscript may be dropped when dealing with selectivity for the midwater fleet because it is time-invariant. Selectivity-at-length is then normalised according to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{y, l}^{f} \rightarrow S_{y, l}^{*, f}=\frac{s_{y, l}^{f}}{\sum_{l^{\prime}=l_{1}}^{l_{2}} \frac{s_{y, l^{\prime}-l_{1}+1}^{f}}{l_{2}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the selectivity function is scaled by the inverse of its average value over a certain length range. $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ are the same for both midwater and the demersal fleets and are set equal to 10 cm and 40 cm respectively.

Because the model is age-structured, selectivity-at-length must be transformed into selectivity-atage using an age-length relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{y, a}^{f}=\sum_{l} A_{l, a} S_{y, l}^{f} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is assumed that the length distribution for horse mackerel of age $a$ is described by a normal distribution with mean which is given by the von Bertalanffy growth curve input, and with a standard deviation that is proportional to this mean. Consequently, with length classes of $1 \mathrm{~cm}, A_{l, a}$ is computed according to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{l, a}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{l+0.5-l_{a+0.5}}{\sqrt{2}\left(\gamma l_{a+0.5}\right)}\right)-\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{l-0.5-l_{a+0.5}}{\sqrt{2}\left(\gamma l_{a+0.5}\right)}\right)\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
erf is the error function;
$l_{a+0.5}$ is the expected midyear length for a horse mackerel of age $a$, which is calculated using the input von Bertalanffy growth curve given by Equation 1; and $\gamma$ is the CV of the length-at-age distribution, which is fixed at 0.9.

## Stock-recruitment relationship

The spawning biomass in year y is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{y}^{s p}=\sum_{a=a_{m}}^{m} w_{a} N_{y, a} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$a_{m}$ is the age corresponding to $100 \%$ sexual maturity, which is assumed here to be described by a
knife-edge function of age; and
$w_{a}$ is the mass of a horse mackerel of age $a$ at the start of the year.
The number of recruits at the start of fishing year $y$ is related to the spawner stock size by a Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(B_{y}^{s p}\right)=\frac{\alpha B_{y}^{s p}}{\beta+B_{y}^{s p}} e^{\varsigma y} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\alpha$ and $\beta$ are stock-recruitment parameters; and
$\varsigma_{y}$ are stock-recruitment residuals reflecting fluctuations about expected recruitment in year $y$.
In order to work with estimable parameters that are more biologically meaningful than $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the stock-recruitment relationship is re-parametersied in terms of pre-exploitation equilibrium spawning biomass, $K^{s p}$, and the steepness of the stock-recruitment relationship, $h$, where steepness is the
fraction of pristine recruitment, $R_{0}$, that results when spawning biomass drops to $20 \%$ of its pristine level:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h R_{0}=R\left(0.2 K^{s p}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{0.2\left(\beta+K^{s p}\right)}{\beta+0.2 K^{s p}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{4 h R_{0}}{5 h-1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{K^{s p(1-h)}}{5 h-1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a value for the pre-exploitation spawning biomass $K^{s p}$ of horse mackerel, together with the assumption of an initial equilibrium age-structure, pristine recruitment can be determined from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}=\frac{K_{s p}}{\left[\sum_{a=a_{m}}^{m-1} w_{a} e^{-a M}+w_{m} e^{-m M} /\left(1-e^{-M}\right)\right]} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2 Likelihood functions

The model is fitted to three biomass indices and three sets of catch-at-length data. Stock recruitment residuals also contribute to the penalised negative log-likelihood that is minimised in the fitting process.

## Abundance indices

The assessment model is ordinarily fitted to three abundance indices: spring and autumn demersal biomass estimates, and a commercial midwater CPUE series. The associated likelihood contribution are calculated by assuming that the observed abundance index is log-normally distributed about its expected value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{y}^{s}=\widehat{I}_{y}^{s} e^{\epsilon_{y}^{s}} \text { or } \epsilon_{y}^{s}=\ln \left(I_{y}^{s}\right)-\ln \left(\hat{I}_{y}^{s}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$s$ indicates the abundance index concerned and is either aut for the autumn survey, or spr for the spring survey, cpue for CPUE or pel for the pelagic index;
$I_{y}^{S}$ is the observed value of index $s$ in year $y ;$
$\hat{I}_{y}^{s}$ is the model predicted value of $s$ in year $y$.
The negative of the log-likelihood function (after removal of the constant) is then given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\ln L=\sum_{s} \sum_{y}\left[\ln \sigma_{y}^{s}+\left(\epsilon_{y}^{s}\right)^{2} / 2\left(\sigma_{y}^{s}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spring and autumn demersal survey biomass estimates are assumed to reflect demersal exploitable biomass:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{y}^{s}=q_{s} B_{y}^{d} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{s}$ is the catchability coefficient corresponding to index $s$. Note that the same demersal exploitable biomass $B_{y}^{d}$ is used to fit both the autumn and spring demersal surveys even though they occur several months apart. Because a mid-year pulse catch assumption is made (Equation 13), this exploitable biomass does not account for fishing mortality that may occur between the surveys. For these series, reliable estimates of sampling variability and additional variance are available; therefore the standard deviations are calculated according to the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{y}^{s}=\sqrt{\ln \left[1+\left(C V_{y}^{s}\right)^{2}\right]+\left(\sigma_{a d d}^{S}\right)^{2}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$C V_{y}^{s}$ is the CV for survey s in year y , which is given in Table A2, and
$\sigma_{a d d}^{S}$ is the model estimated additional variance for survey abundance index s.
The midwater CPUE index is assumed to reflect the midwater exploitable biomass:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{y}^{\text {cpue }}=q_{c p u e} B_{y}^{m} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the pelagic hydro-accoustic survey index from November of year $y$ is assumed to reflect recruitment in year $y+1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{y}^{p e l}=q_{p e l} R_{y+1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reliable estimates of CVs and catchability are unavailable for the CPUE and pelagic abundance indexes. Therefore, they are set to their maximum likelihood estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma^{s}=\sqrt{1 / n \sum_{y}\left(\epsilon_{y}^{s}\right)^{2}}  \tag{32}\\
& \ln q_{s}=1 / n \sum_{y} \epsilon_{y}^{s} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

## For the midwater CPUE index:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{\text {cpue }}=q_{1} \text { for years up to and including 2013, } \\
& q_{\text {cpue }}=q_{2} \text { for year } 2014 \\
& q_{\text {cpue }}=q_{1} \text { for year } 2017+
\end{aligned}
$$

$q_{\text {cpue }}$ is linearly interpolated between $q_{2}$ (in 2014) and $q_{1}$ (in 2017) to obtain the $q_{\text {cpue }}$ values for 2015 and 2016 (although note that the observed 2015 CPUE value is omitted in the model fit, as decided earlier by the DWG).

This model thus assumes that recent (2014-2016) low CPUE values are a result of reduced fishing catchability

## Catch-at-length

Model estimated catch-at-length proportions are fitted to spring and autumn demersal survey length-frequency data, and commercial midwater length frequency data.

Catch-at-age estimates (Equation 14) are transformed into catch-at-length estimates using the agelength relationship $A_{l, a}$ (Equation 18):

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{y, l}^{f}=\sum_{a=0}^{m} A_{l, a} C_{y, a}^{f} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{y, l}^{f}$ is the total number of horse mackerel of length / caught in year $y$.

The contribution of catch-at-length data to the negative log-likelihood function is then given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\ln L=w_{c a l} \sum_{s} \sum_{y} \sum_{l}\left[\ln \sigma_{c a l}^{s}+\left(\sqrt{p_{y, l}^{s}}-\sqrt{\hat{p}_{y, l}^{s}}\right)^{2} / 2\left(\sigma_{c a l}^{s}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$w_{c a l}$ is a weighting for this likelihood contribution, and is fixed at 0.35 ;
$p_{y, l}^{S}$ is the observed proportion of fish caught in year $y$ that are of length / for dataset $s$;
$\hat{p}_{y, l}^{S}$ is equal to $C_{y, l}^{f} / \sum_{l} C_{y, l}^{f}$ and is the model predicted proportion of fish caught in year $y$ that are of
length / in dataset $s$, where $f$ is the appropriate fleet; and
$\sigma_{c a l}^{S}$ is the standard deviation associated with catch-at-length dataset $s$, which is estimated in the
fitting procedure by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{c a l}^{s}=\sqrt{\sum_{y} \sum_{l}\left(\sqrt{p_{y, l}^{s}}-\sqrt{\hat{p}_{y, l}^{s}}\right)^{2} / \sum_{y} \sum_{l} 1} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that allowance is made for a minus group (fish smaller than 10 cm ) and a plus group (fish 46 cm and larger). Length classes are specified with intervals of 5 cm .

## Stock-recruitment residuals

It is assumed that these residuals are log-normally distributed and are not serially correlated. Therefore, their contribution to the penalised negative log-likelihood is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\ln L=\sum_{y} \frac{\varsigma_{y}^{2}}{2 \sigma_{R}^{2}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\varsigma_{y}$ is the estimated stock-recruitment residual for year $y$; and
$\sigma_{R}$ is the input standard deviation of the log-residuals, which is assumed to be equal to 0.5 .
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Table 1: Parameter values for the von Bertalanffy growth curve (Equation 1) and mass-at-length relationship (Equation 2) for Cape horse mackerel. Values reported are taken from Kerstan pers. commn) as quoted in Horsten (1999b) and from Naish et al. (1991) respectively.

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| $l_{\infty}(\mathrm{cm})$ | 54.56 |
| $\kappa(\mathrm{yr})$ | 0.183 |
| $t_{0}(\mathrm{yr})$ | -0.654 |
| $\alpha\left(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{\beta}\right)$ | 0.0078 |
| $\beta$ | 3.011 |

Table 2: Selectivity-at-age vectors assumed for the pelagic fleet over three different periods (Johnston and Butterworth 2007).

|  | Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (yr) | $\mathbf{1 9 4 9 - 1 9 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 3 - 1 9 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 8 +}$ |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.28 |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 |
| 2 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.50 |
| 3 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| 5 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| 6 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.00 |
| 7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $10+$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 3: Horse Mackerel catch data for the three different fleets (values in MT) (data provided by DEFF).

| Year | Pelagic catch | Demersal catch | Midwater catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1949 | 3360 | 0.00001 | 0.00001 |
| 1950 | 49900 | 445 | 0.00001 |
| 1951 | 98900 | 1105 | 0.00001 |
| 1952 | 102600 | 1226 | 0.00001 |
| 1953 | 85200 | 1456 | 0.00001 |
| 1954 | 118100 | 2550 | 0.00001 |
| 1955 | 78800 | 1926 | 0.00001 |
| 1956 | 45800 | 1334 | 0.00001 |
| 1957 | 84600 | 959 | 0.00001 |
| 1958 | 56400 | 2073 | 0.00001 |
| 1959 | 17700 | 2075 | 0.00001 |
| 1960 | 62900 | 3712 | 0.00001 |
| 1961 | 38900 | 3627 | 0.00001 |
| 1962 | 66700 | 3079 | 0.00001 |
| 1963 | 23300 | 1401 | 0.00001 |
| 1964 | 24400 | 9522 | 0.00001 |
| 1965 | 55000 | 7017 | 0.00001 |
| 1966 | 26300 | 7596 | 0.00001 |
| 1967 | 8800 | 6189 | 0.00001 |
| 1968 | 1400 | 9116 | 0.00001 |
| 1969 | 26800 | 12252 | 0.00001 |
| 1970 | 7900 | 17872 | 0.00001 |
| 1971 | 2200 | 33329 | 0.00001 |
| 1972 | 1300 | 20560 | 0.00001 |
| 1973 | 1600 | 33900 | 0.00001 |
| 1974 | 2500 | 38391 | 0.00001 |
| 1975 | 1600 | 55459 | 0.00001 |
| 1976 | 400 | 50981 | 0.00001 |
| 1977 | 1900 | 116400 | 0.00001 |
| 1978 | 3600 | 37290 | 0.00001 |
| 1979 | 4300 | 53584.5 | 0.00001 |
| 1980 | 400 | 39187.5 | 0.00001 |
| 1981 | 6100 | 41215 | 0.00001 |
| 1982 | 1100 | 32176 | 0.00001 |
| 1983 | 2100 | 38332 | 0.00001 |
| 1984 | 2800 | 37969 | 0.00001 |
| 1985 | 700 | 27278 | 0.00001 |
| 1986 | 500 | 31378 | 0.00001 |


| 1987 | 2834 | 38571 | 0.00001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 6403 | 41482 | 0.00001 |
| 1989 | 25872 | 58205.5 | 0.00001 |
| 1990 | 7645 | 56721.3 | 0.00001 |
| 1992 | 2057 | 37207.53 | 0.00001 |
| 1993 | 11651 | 35998 | 0.00001 |
| 1994 | 8207 | 20029.5 | 0.00001 |
| 1995 | 1986 | 10790 | 0.00001 |
| 1996 | 18920 | 31846 | 0.00001 |
| 1997 | 12654 | 34670.5 | 0.00001 |
| 1998 | 26680 | 36278.8 | 15769.8 |
| 1999 | 2057 | 21579.73 | 2160.77 |
| 2000 | 4503 | 9228.977 | 15375.74 |
| 2001 | 915 | 8813.736 | 19220.38 |
| 2002 | 8148 | 4863.111 | 11098.47 |
| 2003 | 1012 | 3562.168 | 25290.98 |
| 2004 | 2048 | 4933.367 | 27154.31 |
| 2005 | 5627 | 5280.164 | 29005.21 |
| 2006 | 4824 | 4132.990 | 18068.35 |
| 2007 | 1903 | 4811.698 | 24251.18 |
| 2008 | 2280 | 4449.295 | 23774.56 |
| 2009 | 2087 | 4128.813 | 29021.42 |
| 2010 | 4385 | 5595.850 | 23479.62 |
| 2011 | 10990 | 5148.755 | 28828.99 |
| 2012 | 2199 | 4941.442 | 22578.83 |
| 2013 | 596 | 2695.003 | 28416.75 |
| 2014 | 2760 | 3087.010 | 10052.97 |
| 2015 | 2041 | 4747.106 | 7975.59 |
| 2016 | 1601 | 5230.374 | 11612.69 |
| 2017 | 1415 | 5703.439 | 17545.20 |
| 2018 | 948 | 4527.709 | 22827.79 |
| 2019 | 1082 | 4720.275 | 16498.21 |
| 2020 | 2174 | 4300.648 | 19710.07 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |

Table 4a: GLM standardised CPUE (for the Desert Diamond), the Dual rights vessels' CPUE and survey abundance estimates for South African horse mackerel for the period 1986-2018. Data were provided by Coetzee, Fairweather and Singh (DEFF, pers. commn).

| Year | Desert Diamond CPUE | Dual rights CPUE | Autumn demersal survey |  | Spring demersal survey |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Biomass <br> (KT) | cv | Biomass <br> (KT) | cV |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  | 97.36 | 0.13 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  | 332.97 | 0.14 |
| 1988 |  |  | 152.27 | 0.31 |  |  |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 |  |  | 325.43 | 0.22 |  |  |
| 1992 |  |  | 385.11 | 0.23 |  |  |
| 1993 |  |  | 399.99 | 0.20 |  |  |
| 1994 |  |  | 315.65 | 0.27 |  |  |
| 1995 |  |  | 179.76 | 0.24 |  |  |
| 1996 |  |  | 252.58 | 0.23 |  |  |
| 1997 |  |  | 240.33 | 0.26 |  |  |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 |  |  | 300.23 | 0.24 |  |  |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  | 316.72 | 0.18 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 0.607 |  | 127.20 | 0.24 | 231.36* | 0.20* |
| 2004 | 0.473 |  | 192.59* | 0.32* | 366.50* | 0.19* |
| 2005 | 0.718 |  | 170.05* | 0.21* |  |  |
| 2006 | 0.871 |  | 326.29 | 0.19 | 350.28 | 0.19 |
| 2007 | 1.258 | 0.994 | 215.56* | 0.41* | 473.22* | 0.19* |
| 2008 | 0.791 | 2.202 | 269.11* | 0.27* | 300.00* | 0.17* |
| 2009 | 0.835 | 4.820 | 308.36* | 0.24* |  |  |
| 2010 | 1.036 | 4.254 | 267.93 | 0.38 |  |  |
| 2011 | 1.574 | 6.098 | 193.84* | 0.23* |  |  |
| 2012 | 0.677 | 3.854 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 1.097 | 4.432 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 0.209 | 2.311 | 512.54* | 0.28* |  |  |
| 2015 | - | 3.381 | 161.18* | 0.17* |  |  |
| 2016 | 0.582 | 2.960 | 103.99* | 0.43* | 153.32* | 0.25* |
| 2017 | 0.861 | 3.138 |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 1.729 | 6.735 |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 1.334 | 3.807 | 80.68* | 0.49* |  |  |
| 2020 | 2.346 | 6.209 |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 |  |  | 204.47*\# | 0.34 |  |  |

[^0]Table 4b: The BC and alternate DR CPUE series (provided by Fairweather).

|  | BC DR CPUE | Alternate DR CPUE <br> (updated cpue excl records >20 tons/hr)[Used <br> in Alt1 model] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 6.098 | 4.818 |
| 2012 | 3.854 | 3.734 |
| 2013 | 4.432 | 3.827 |
| 2014 | 2.311 | 2.311 |
| 2015 | 3.381 | 3.157 |
| 2016 | 2.960 | 2.960 |
| 2017 | 3.138 | 2.799 |
| 2018 | 6.735 | 4.565 |
| 2019 | 3.807 | 3.807 |
| 2020 | 6.209 | 5.687 |

Table 4c: The West Coast Summer survey series (used in the Alt2 model).

| 1985 | 9962 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 4569 |
| 1987 | 12297 |
| 1988 | 24393 |
| 1990 | 49623 |
| 1991 | 38188 |
| 1992 | 64045 |
| 1993 | 134552 |
| 1994 | 140392 |
| 1995 | 112204 |
| 1996 | 305283 |
| 1997 | 72424 |
| 1999 | 99184 |
| 2002 | 83818 |
| 2003 | 31890 |
| 2004 | 78396 |
| 2005 | 13673 |
| 2006 | 15929 |
| 2007 | 56692 |
| 2008 | 41627 |
| 2009 | 60099 |
| 2010 | 40556 |
| 2011 | 119199 |
| 2012 | 16593 |
| 2013 | 209130 |
| 2014 | 162606 |
| 2015 | 48800 |
| 2016 | 15500 |
| 2017 | 58556 |
| 2019 | 5083 |
| 2020 | 87592 |

Table 5a: Spring demersal survey catch-at-length for South African horse mackerel (shown as proportions of numbers each year) as used in the assessment model. Provided by Fairweather (DEFF, pers. commn).

|  |  | Total length $(\mathrm{cm})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $0-10$ | $10-15$ | $15-20$ | $20-25$ | $25-30$ | $30-35$ | $35-40$ | $40-45$ | $45+$ |
| 1986 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0020 | 0.0900 | 0.2380 | 0.1640 | 0.1690 | 0.2310 | 0.1050 |
| 1987 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1160 | 0.2230 | 0.1600 | 0.2060 | 0.1240 | 0.1290 | 0.0430 |
| 2001 | 0.0020 | 0.0150 | 0.3750 | 0.2550 | 0.1240 | 0.1360 | 0.0750 | 0.0150 | 0.0040 |
| 2003 | 0.0000 | 0.0500 | 0.0680 | 0.3760 | 0.3670 | 0.0910 | 0.0400 | 0.0080 | 0.0010 |
| 2004 | 0.0010 | 0.2380 | 0.2560 | 0.1610 | 0.2260 | 0.0740 | 0.0350 | 0.0080 | 0.0010 |
| 2006 | 0.0080 | 0.2670 | 0.2430 | 0.2880 | 0.1440 | 0.0410 | 0.0080 | 0.0010 | 0.0000 |
| 2007 | 0.0000 | 0.2230 | 0.6340 | 0.0950 | 0.0440 | 0.0030 | 0.0010 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2008 | 0.0010 | 0.0270 | 0.4580 | 0.4290 | 0.0680 | 0.0100 | 0.0050 | 0.0020 | 0.0000 |
| 2016 | 0.0001 | 0.0263 | 0.2914 | 0.5157 | 0.1325 | 0.0223 | 0.0099 | 0.0008 | 0.0010 |

Table 5b: Autumn demersal survey catch-at-length for South African horse mackerel (shown as proportions of numbers each year) as used in the assessment models. Provided by Fairweather (DEFF, pers. commn).

|  | Total length (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\mathbf{0 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 +}$ |
| 1988 | 0.0003 | 0.0291 | 0.0721 | 0.0145 | 0.0544 | 0.1161 | 0.1507 | 0.3460 | 0.2168 |
| 1992 | 0.0004 | 0.0827 | 0.0699 | 0.0824 | 0.2462 | 0.1756 | 0.0913 | 0.1186 | 0.1329 |
| 1993 | 0.0001 | 0.0901 | 0.1445 | 0.1032 | 0.1639 | 0.1599 | 0.1485 | 0.1350 | 0.0548 |
| 1994 | 0.0000 | 0.0530 | 0.1251 | 0.1396 | 0.2854 | 0.2557 | 0.0542 | 0.0491 | 0.0377 |
| 1995 | 0.0002 | 0.0024 | 0.1043 | 0.1206 | 0.2929 | 0.2311 | 0.1225 | 0.0801 | 0.0458 |
| 1996 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0095 | 0.0169 | 0.2719 | 0.3405 | 0.2708 | 0.0719 | 0.0182 |
| 1997 | 0.0006 | 0.0046 | 0.0484 | 0.0217 | 0.3253 | 0.3092 | 0.1682 | 0.0828 | 0.0393 |
| 1999 | 0.0002 | 0.0078 | 0.1208 | 0.0735 | 0.0941 | 0.4209 | 0.1487 | 0.0925 | 0.0415 |
| 2003 | 0.0003 | 0.0041 | 0.1250 | 0.2697 | 0.2297 | 0.1186 | 0.1762 | 0.0656 | 0.0108 |
| 2004 | 0.0159 | 0.0959 | 0.1499 | 0.1165 | 0.2408 | 0.1726 | 0.1465 | 0.0575 | 0.0043 |
| 2005 | 0.0004 | 0.1303 | 0.1237 | 0.1420 | 0.2413 | 0.1732 | 0.1474 | 0.0404 | 0.0012 |
| 2006 | 0.0013 | 0.0466 | 0.1615 | 0.2348 | 0.2054 | 0.1492 | 0.1608 | 0.0375 | 0.0029 |
| 2007 | 0.0195 | 0.2059 | 0.2433 | 0.2541 | 0.1372 | 0.0571 | 0.0497 | 0.0316 | 0.0014 |
| 2008 | 0.0007 | 0.0732 | 0.2967 | 0.3771 | 0.1595 | 0.0239 | 0.0147 | 0.0489 | 0.0054 |
| 2009 | 0.0001 | 0.0186 | 0.0642 | 0.4922 | 0.2855 | 0.0609 | 0.0392 | 0.0367 | 0.0026 |
| 2010 | 0.0106 | 0.1629 | 0.1055 | 0.1858 | 0.2857 | 0.0945 | 0.0414 | 0.0963 | 0.0172 |
| 2011 | 0.0510 | 0.3606 | 0.1140 | 0.1455 | 0.2313 | 0.0396 | 0.0183 | 0.0346 | 0.0052 |
| 2014 | 0.0011 | 0.2538 | 0.3791 | 0.3062 | 0.0410 | 0.0132 | 0.0043 | 0.0007 | 0.0005 |
| 2015 | 0.0003 | 0.0550 | 0.3614 | 0.4436 | 0.0902 | 0.0350 | 0.0078 | 0.0023 | 0.0044 |
| 2016 | 0.0000 | 0.0678 | 0.1958 | 0.3441 | 0.1749 | 0.1353 | 0.0490 | 0.0313 | 0.0017 |
| 2019 | 0.0055 | 0.1768 | 0.0689 | 0.2033 | 0.2306 | 0.2186 | 0.0776 | 0.0113 | 0.0073 |
| $2021 \#$ | 0.0004 | 0.3523 | 0.1264 | 0.0701 | 0.2285 | 0.1526 | 0.0536 | 0.0079 | 0.0084 |

\# not used in 2021 assessment.

Table 5c: Mid-water catch-at-length data (taken from Desert Diamond).

|  | Total length (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | prop_1-10 | prop_11-15 | prop_16-20 | prop_21-25 | prop_26-30 | prop_31-35 | prop_36-40 | prop_41-45 | prop_46+ |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0010 | 0.1350 | 0.2560 | 0.5050 | 0.1020 | 0.0010 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0120 | 0.2410 | 0.3820 | 0.3280 | 0.0360 | 0.0010 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0.0040 | 0.0790 | 0.2880 | 0.3880 | 0.1900 | 0.0350 | 0.0160 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0.0060 | 0.1130 | 0.3390 | 0.4030 | 0.1260 | 0.0100 | 0.0030 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0.0030 | 0.0900 | 0.2930 | 0.3590 | 0.1870 | 0.0540 | 0.0140 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0.0010 | 0.0430 | 0.2560 | 0.3280 | 0.2460 | 0.1110 | 0.0140 | 0.0010 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0.0010 | 0.0880 | 0.3860 | 0.3180 | 0.1700 | 0.0340 | 0.0020 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0.0180 | 0.2200 | 0.3780 | 0.2550 | 0.1000 | 0.0260 | 0.0030 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0.0052 | 0.0484 | 0.3976 | 0.1928 | 0.1262 | 0.1068 | 0.123 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0.1175 | 0.1337 | 0.3229 | 0.2901 | 0.1027 | 0.0306 | 0.0024 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0.0001 | 0.4181 | 0.2915 | 0.0893 | 0.1555 | 0.0395 | 0.0047 | 0.0013 |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0.0002 | 0.0414 | 0.1093 | 0.5491 | 0.2703 | 0.0273 | 0.0024 |
| 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.1707 | 0.5813 | 0.1906 | 0.043 | 0.0111 | 0.0022 |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0.0004 | 0.1868 | 0.571 | 0.204 | 0.0269 | 0.0089 | 0.0019 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0.0003 | 0.0818 | 0.4975 | 0.3481 | 0.0585 | 0.0096 | 0.0042 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0.0017 | 0.0982 | 0.367 | 0.4282 | 0.0909 | 0.0116 | 0.0023 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0443 | 0.5085 | 0.371 | 0.0701 | 0.0049 | 0.0012 |



Figure 2: 2020 BC model selectivity functions. The old gear (OLD) survey selectivity plot applies also to the demersal bycatch. Demersal and midwater selectivities are estimated, and the pelagic selectivities input. The values estimated (or input for the pelagic fleet) correspond to the BC assessment.


[^0]:    *These values correspond to surveys that used the new trawl net, which was introduced in September 2003.
    \# Not used in 2021 assessment.

